

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/824,027	04/14/2004		Jennifer E. Van Eyk	PTQ-0058	6007	
75	7590 02/15/2006			EXAMINER		
Licata & Tyrrell P.C.				DESAI, ANAND U		
66 East Main Street Marlton, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2.00, 2.00.000				1653		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			27	EYK ET AL.					
			7	Art Unit					
		Anand U.	Desai, Ph.D.	1653					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply			correspondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILInsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no ev tion. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 18 November 2	005						
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 13-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	_								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Art Unit: 1653

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. This office action is in response to Amendment filed on November 18, 2005. Claims 3-

12 have been cancelled. Claims 1, and 13-20 are currently pending and are under examination.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Withdrawal of Rejections

3. The rejection of claims 1, 13-16, 19, and 20 under the judicially created doctrine of

obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn based on the filing of a terminal disclaimer.

4. The rejection of claims 13-15, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite is withdrawn.

5. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed November 18, 2005 is sufficient to overcome

the rejection of claims 1, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated

by Van Eyk et al. (US 2003/022220 A1), based upon showing that U.S. 2003/0022220 A1 is not

an invention "by another".

Maintenance of Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1, 13, and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Mochly-Rosen (U.S. Patent 6,165,977).

Art Unit: 1653

# Response to Remarks

Applicant states Mochly-Rosen does not teach a method for identifying an agent or event capable of priming a cell for preconditioning and/or inducing preconditioning based upon any of the claimed preconditioning proteins.

Applicant's arguments filed November 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Mochly-Rosen does disclose the use of εPKC to screen for compounds effective to induce preconditioning in a cell. Activation of εPKC requires Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, and therefore it is being interpreted to be a Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling protein.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1, 13, 14, 15, and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, Y. et al. (J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 33: 2037-2046 (2001)).

#### Response to Remarks

Applicant states Wang et al. does not teach or suggest a method for identifying an agent or event capable of priming a cell for preconditioning and/or inducing preconditioning based upon any of the claimed preconditioning proteins.

Applicant's arguments filed November 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Wang, Y. et al. does disclose the use of PKC-δ to screen for compounds effective to induce preconditioning in a cell. Activation of PKC-δ requires Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, and therefore it is being interpreted to be a Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling protein.

### **New Objections and Rejections**

#### Claim Objections

8. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 1653

The abbreviation for the preconditioning protein, IDH in claim 16 should be spelled out.
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. Claims 1, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 11. In claim 1, it is unclear what is being modulated? Is it the biological activity of the preconditioning protein, or is it the steady state quantity of the protein? What does a Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling protein describe? Would a calcium channel that regulates the flow of calcium ions be a Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling protein, or would a protein that binds Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, such as PKC be a Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling protein?
- 12. In claims 16, 17, and 18, what is meant by "level"? Is the level referring to the biological activity or it is referring to the steady state quantity of the protein?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. Claims 1, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Currie et al. (Brain Research 863: 169-181 (2000)).

Currie et al. disclose an event capable of preconditioning brain tissue. The preconditioning stimulus is produced by occluding the middle cerebral artery by elevation of the middle cerebral artery approximately 0.5 mm from the cortical surface for 10 minutes (see

Art Unit: 1653

Material and Methods, page 170, section 2.2. Ischemic preconditioning stimulation). Currie et al. disclose the induction of chaperone heat shock protein 27 (Hsp27) in the rat cerebral cortex after preconditioning (see page 173, Results section, 3.4. Hsp27 immunoreactivity after PC, and page 175, Figure 4, right panel). Currie et al. state the occlusion of the middle cerebral artery preconditioning method produced a prolonged upregulation of chaperone Hsp27 (see Discussion section, page 174).

14. Claims 1, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Kobara et al. (J Mol. Cell Cardiol 28: 417-428 (1996)).

Kobara et al. disclose an experimental protocol that compares the effects of ischemic preconditioning on mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation pathway protein, ATPase (also known as F<sub>0</sub>F<sub>1</sub> ATP synthetase) and adenine nucleotide translocase activity. The ischemic preconditioning is achieved by clamping the aortic line for two cycles of a 5-minute period of global ischemia followed by 5-minute period of reperfusion (see page 419, Figure 1, and page 420, Materials and Methods, Measurement of mitochondrial ATPase activity and Measurements of adenine nucleotide translocase sections). Kobara et al. disclose the ATPase activity was consistently higher in the preconditioned group as compared to the control group, either after sustained ischemia, or reperfusion. Kobara et al. state the adenine nucleotide translocase activity was significantly higher in the preconditioned group as compared to the control group after 30 minutes of reperfusion (see page 424, Results, Mitochondrial ATPase and adenine nucleotide translocase activities section, and Figures 7 and 8).

Art Unit: 1653

#### Conclusion

#### 15. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand U. Desai, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber can be reached on (517) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 2, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER